PMPO 2020 Sub Committee - DRAFT

MINUTES   7/05/09   2:30PM – 4:00PM   BRUCE GRAVES HOME

CHAIRPERSON	Bruce Graves
NOTE TAKER/MINUTES	Tracey Chesney
ATTENDEES	Bruce Graves, Mike Chesney (overseer), Cecelia Borland, Gordon Madison, Allan Serry
ABSENTEES	Terri Bailey, Susan Humason, Elizabeth Getzoff (Libby)
VISITORS	Nina Faulkenstene, Doug Lande, Kathy Lande

Agenda topics

20 MINUTES   **GENERAL PLAN UPDATE**   **CECELIA BORLAND**

DISCUSSION	Cecelia shared with group, the General Plan update material she acquired when she attended the County meeting on June 27th, 2009. Glenn Borland burned copies of 2 CD's from the County meeting that Cecelia passed on to the committee members. There was open discussion of the materials provided by Cecelia. Questions were asked and answered. Bruce reaffirmed that Glenn Borland should be the stand-alone voice for the PMPO.
CONCLUSIONS	The committee appeared confident they were up-to-date and well informed after Cecelia's update. **Key milestones** • PMPO 2020 Sub Committee will draft a recommended response to the County and will circulate that response to all PMPO board members for review, on or before 8/15/2009 • PMPO board member discussion and adoption of recommended response on 8/22/2009 All members agreed with Bruce's reaffirmation that Glenn Borland be the 'voice' for the PMPO during communications with the County.

70 MINUTES   **LAND DESIGNATION AND POPULATION DENSITY ON PALOMAR MOUNTAIN**   **ENTIRE GROUP**

DISCUSSION	There was an open discussion that included: • The results from the Palomar Mountain Land Use survey • The map/s of the potentially commercial land designation, recommended by the PMPO to the County • Whether property owners should be notified and given the opportunity to respond, if their land is under consideration for commercial designation • Potential land use designation around the Summit, Fire Station, Helicopter Pad, Yoga Center and surrounding properties • What the community, as a majority, really wants for Palomar Mountain • Mountain Density i.e. 1 dwelling per 8 acres; 1 dwelling per 40 acres; 1 dwelling per 80 acres • Coming up with a procedure to ensure a positive response to/ from the PMPO and the County • Conducting a second Palomar Mountain Land Use survey • Potential for smaller parcels of commercial land to be purchased and grouped together as larger commercial parcels in the future • What impact commercial development on Palomar Mountain, would have on the community • Potential side effects to Palomar Mountain and it's community, if population density on the mountain were to increase • De-personalizing each property under consideration, to limit discussions to the merit of each individual parcel of land, not the merits of the land owner • Questions surrounding when the 2020 General Plan will end and when the 2040 General Plan cycle will begin

CONCLUSIONS	No vote was taken with regard to conducting a second Palomar Mountain Land Use survey. This issue, along with other un-resolved issues, will be discussed in full at the next PMPO 2020 Sub Committee Meeting. It was agreed by all that the map, previously submitted to the County by the PMPO, would be set aside and a new map would be agreed upon by the PMPO 2020 Sub Committee for recommendation to the PMPO. There was an informal show of hands with regard to each committee member's position on the topics discussed. This led to confidence within the committee that a general consensus for recommendations to the PMPO will be easily attainable. The next PMPO 2020 Sub Committee meeting will be held at Bruce Graves Home on 7/11/2009 at 2:30pm.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Investigate when the 2020 General Plan will end and the 2040 General Plan will begin.	Cecelia Borland	7/11/2009
Provide detailed maps of the Summit and surrounding properties, including APN's, names, addresses phone numbers etc, for review at the next PMPO 2020 Sub Committee meeting.	Allan Serry/Cecelia Borland	7/11/2009